JJ-11
Navajo Settle.

John Whipple

From: creda
Sent: Thursday, December 09, 2004 3:40 PM
To: John Whipple
Subject: Fw: Navajo - San Juan water rights settlement

----- Original Message -----
From: "Leslie James - CREDA" <creda@qwest.net>
To: "Rege Leach" <RLeach@uc.usbr.gov>
Cc: "Arlo Allen" <aallen@uc.usbr.gov>
Sent: Thursday, December 09, 2004 3:38 PM
Subject: Fw: Navajo - San Juan water rights settlement

> Rege - I understand the Navajo Nation may be seeking approval next week.
> I'd like to have responses to these questions as soon as possible - and
> would also like confirmation that the cost of the power facilities is
> included in the amount to be sought through appropriations. Please
advise.
> Thanks, Leslie James
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Leslie James - CREDA" <creda@qwest.net>
> To: "Rege Leach" <rleach@uc.usbr.gov>
> Sent: Thursday, November 04, 2004 6:45 PM
> Subject: Re: Navajo - San Juan water rights settlement
>
>
> > no problem. have told my group that we've asked questions. thanks!
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Rege Leach" <rleach@uc.usbr.gov>
> > To: <creda@qwest.net>
> > Sent: Thursday, November 04, 2004 2:34 PM
> > Subject: Re: Navajo - San Juan water rights settlement
> >
> >
> > > Leslie, Sorry I have not been responsive but I have been in DC and
not
> > > able to read e-mail until now. You ask some good questions which we
can
> > > help answer. I will be back in my office on Tuesday the 9th and start
> > > looking into responses. I know your meeting is tomorrow which I
can't
> > > get information in time for. Rege
> > >
> > > >>> "Leslie James - CREDA" <creda@qwest.net> 10/29/04 1:52 PM >>>
> > > Rege - My Board will be discussing this project at our meeting next
week
> > > and I'm sure the following questions will come up regarding the
proposal
> > > to make this project a participating CRSP project. You may not have
the
> > > answers in time for the meeting, but I will be able to report to the
> > > Board that the USBR is researching. I'm also copying those who I've
> > > communicated with on the past on this project.
> > >
> > > 1) What does making the project a participating project of the CRSP
> > > really mean?
> > > 2) What costs, construction, om&r, interest would be incorporated into
> > > the CRSP power repayment study and what would be the functional cost
> > > allocations?
> > > 3) How would the repayment obligation be determined and what impact
> > > would it have on the SLCA/IP?

> > > 4) What benefits are there to the CRSP that would argue for supporting
> > > participating project status?
> > > 5) How much MW is anticipated to be required and does that amount fall
> > > within the current Animas La Plata project use power estimate, such
that
> > > there is sufficient power available without requiring a withdrawal?
> > > 6) What are the alternatives to participating project status?
Couldn't
> > > NTUA (or other entity such as PNM) be the power provider?
> > >
> > > CREDA has some serious concerns about this approach, and although our
> > > initial concern may be resolved by virtue of the "WAPA transmission
> > > language" being removed from consideration, we believe there are
> > > significant questions and issues that should be discussed before the
> > > USBR supports the concept of this project becoming a participating
> > > project.
> > >
> > > I'm available to discuss at your convenience. Thanks, Leslie James
> > >
> > >
> >
>

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit http://www.messagelabs.com/email